# LPDES PERMIT NO. LA0111180 (Agency Interest No. 92534)

#### LPDES STATEMENT OF BASIS

FOR THE DRAFT LOUISIANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (LPDES) PERMIT TO DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF LOUISIANA

I. Company/Facility Name: Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc.

Post Office Box 232

Geismar, Louisiana 70734

II. Issuing Office: Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ)

Office of Environmental Services

Water Permits Division Post Office Box 4313

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821-4313

III. Prepared By: Melanie Connor

**Industrial Water Permits Section** 

Water Permits Division Phone #: (225) 219-3088 Fax #: (225) 219-3309

E-mail: melanie.connor@la.gov

Date Prepared: September 10, 2009

<u>LAC 33:IX Citations:</u> Unless otherwise stated, citations to LAC 33:IX refer to promulgated regulations listed at Louisiana Administrative Code, Title 33, Part IX.

<u>40 CFR Citations</u>: Unless otherwise stated, citations to 40 CFR refer to promulgated regulations listed at Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations in accordance with the dates specified at LAC 33:IX.4901, 4903, and 2301.F.

#### IV. Permit Action/Status:

A. Reason for Permit Action:

Proposed reissuance of a Louisiana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (LPDES) permit for a 5-year term following regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2365/40 CFR 122.46.

In order to ease the transition from NPDES to LPDES permits, dual regulatory references are provided where applicable. The LAC references are the legal references while the 40 CFR references are presented for informational purposes

only. In most cases, LAC language is based on and is identical to the 40 CFR language. 40 CFR Parts 401, 405-415, and 417-471 have been adopted by reference at LAC 33:IX.4903 and will not have dual references. In addition, state standards (LAC 33:IX. Chapter 11) will not have dual references.

- B. LPDES permit: Permit Effective Date August 1, 2004 Permit Expiration Date – July 31, 2009
- C. Application submittal date: Application submitted on January 28, 2009

# V. Facility Information:

- A. Location 9288 Louisiana Highway 75, Geismar, Ascension Parish (Latitude 30°11'59", Longitude 90°01'9").
- B. Applicant Activity -

Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. is an existing facility that produces aqueous formaldehyde, urea-formaldehyde concentrate, and methaform. The facility has five formaldehyde process units (Formaldehyde Plants 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5). Formaldehyde is formed from the gas phase reaction of methanol and air. No process wastewaters are discharge from the facility. All process streams and potentially contaminated wastewaters (i.e. washdown waters, etc) will be collected and reused for process makeup water. Therefore, the effluent guidelines established at 40 CFR 414 are not applicable to the facility.

- C. Fee Rate -
  - 1. Fee Rating Facility Type: Minor
  - 2. Complexity Type: II (Complexity has been reduced based upon the fact that the facility does not discharge process wastewaters)
  - 3. Wastewater Type: I
  - 4. SIC code: 2869
- VI. Receiving Waters: Mississippi River (Outfalls 001 and 101), and

Unnamed drainage ditch thence to New River (Outfall 002)

- A. Outfalls 001 and 101:
  - 1. TSS (15%), mg/L: 32.0 mg/l\*
  - 2. Average Hardness, mg/L CaCO<sub>3</sub>: 153.4 mg/l\*
  - 3. Critical Flow, cfs: 141,955 \*
  - 4. Mixing Zone Fraction: 1/3 \*

- 5. Harmonic Mean Flow, cfs: 366,748\*
- 6. River Basin: Mississippi River, Segment No.: 070301
- 7. Designated Uses: primary contact recreation, secondary contact recreation, fish and wildlife propagation, and drinking water supply
  - \* Stream Data information based upon the following: Water Quality Management Plan, Volume 5A, 1994; LAC 33:IX Chapter 11. Hardness and 15% TSS data come from the monitoring station #319 on the Mississippi River listed in Hardness and TSS Data for All LDEQ Ambient Stations for the Period of Record as of March 1998, LeBlanc.

#### B. Outfall 002:

River Basin: Lake Pontchartrain Basin, Segment 040404

Designated Uses: primary contact recreation, secondary contact recreation, and fish and wildlife propagation

#### VII. Outfall Information:

#### Outfall 001

- A. Type of wastewater The intermittent discharge of non-contact cooling tower blowdown, non-contact boiler blowdown and previously monitored, and treated sanitary wastewater from Internal Outfall 101
- B. Location At the point of discharge from Tank 48 prior to combining with the waters of the LC Geismar Services, LLC Common Wastewater Effluent Line (Latitude 30°12'47", Longitude 91°01'32")
- C. Treatment pH adjustment
- D. Flow 0.148 MGD, Intermittent
- E. Receiving waters Mississippi River
- F. Basin and segment Mississippi River Basin, Segment 070301

# Outfall 101

- A. Type of wastewater The intermittent discharge of treated sanitary wastewater
- B. Location At the point of discharge from the treatment facility prior to combining with other waters
- C. Treatment biological package treatment unit
- D. Flow 0.0008 MGD
- E. Receiving waters To Final Outfall 001, thence to the Mississippi River
- F. Basin and segment Mississippi River Basin, Segment 070301

#### Outfall 002

- A. Type of wastewater The intermittent discharge of low contamination potential stormwater runoff and utility wastewaters including but not limited to safety shower-eyewash stations and fire water
- B. Location At the point of discharge from the drain pipe under the rail spur at the northwest corner of the property prior to combining with other waters
- C. Treatment None
- D. Flow 0.232 MGD, intermittent
- E. Receiving waters unnamed drainage ditch, thence to New River
- F. Basin and segment Lake Pontchartrain Basin, Segment 040404

#### VIII. Proposed Permit Limits and Rationale:

The following section sets forth the principal facts and the significant factual, legal, methodological, and policy questions considered in preparing the draft permit.

# A. PROPOSED CHANGES:

- 1. Outfall 001 TDS monitoring has been removed from the permit. TDS monitoring was included in the previous permit due to the fact that TDS levels are known to be relatively high in cooling tower blowdown discharges. Footnote of the previous permit states that "...a future total dissolved solids numerical limitation may be required if total dissolved solids are found to cause instream concentrations to exceed water quality criterion." Water quality screening was done at Outfall 001 using the maximum TDS value reported between 2006 and 2009. The screen indicated that there is no reasonable potential for TDS from the facility to exceed water quality criterion for the receiving stream.
- 2. Outfall 101 The statistical basis for flow, BOD<sub>5</sub>, TSS and Fecal Coliform has been changed from a weekly average to a daily maximum based upon current LDEQ guidance for sanitary wastewater discharges at industrial facilities.
- 3. Outfall 101 Monthly average limitations for BOD, TSS and Fecal Coliform have been added to the permit in accordance with the Class I Sanitary Wastewater General Permit (LAG530000) and current office guidance for permitting sanitary wastewater from industrial facilities.

# B. <u>TECHNOLOGY-BASED VERSUS WATER QUALITY STANDARDS-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS</u>

Following regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2707.L.2.b/40 CFR Part 122.44(l)(2)(ii), the draft permit limits are based on either technology-based effluent limits pursuant to LAC 33:IX.2707.A/40 CFR Part 122.44(a) or on State water quality standards and requirements pursuant to LAC 33:IX.2707.D/40 CFR Part 122.44(d), whichever are more stringent.

# TECHNOLOGY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND CONDITIONS

Regulations promulgated at LAC 33:IX.2707.A/40 CFR Part 122.44(a) require technology-based effluent limitations to be placed in LPDES permits based on effluent limitations guidelines where applicable, on BPJ (best professional judgement) in the absence of guidelines, or on a combination of the two. Because there are no effluent guidelines applicable to the discharges from Hexion Speciality Chemicals, Inc., limitations are based upon BPJ.

# WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Specific analytical results from the permittee's application and DMRs were screened against state water quality numerical standard based limitations by following guidance procedures established in the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, October 7, 2009.

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(I)/LAC 33:IX.2707.D.1., the existing discharge was evaluated in accordance with the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, October 7, 2009, to determine whether pollutants would be discharged "at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard." Calculations, results, and documentation are given in Appendix A.

As a result of the screen, no pollutants received water quality based effluent limitations.

Minimum quantification levels (MQLs) for state water quality numerical standards-based effluent limitations are set at the values listed in the <u>Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards</u>, LDEQ, October 7, 2009. They are also listed in Part II of the permit.

# C. PROPOSED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

Outfall 001 – The intermittent discharge of non-contact cooling tower blowdown, non-contact boiler blowdown and previously monitored, and treated sanitary wastewater from Internal Outfall 101

Parameter	Proposed Pern	Monitoring Frequency		
	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum		
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	1/month	
рН	6.0 s.u. (Min)	9.0 s.u. (Max)	1/month	
TOC		50 mg/L	1/month	
Oil & Grease		15 mg/L	1/month	
Temperature (°F)		Report	1/month	

# EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS BASIS for Outfall 001:

Flow: The requirement to report flow is based upon LAC 33:IX.2707.I.1.b.

**TOC and Oil & Grease:** Limitations are based upon current utility wastewater guidance and the previous permit.

pH: Requirements are based upon LAC 33:IX.1113.C.1.

**Temperature:** Reporting requirements for Temperature are based on BPJ and the previous permit.

Outfall 101 - The intermittent discharge of treated sanitary wastewater

Parameter	Proposed Pern	Monitoring Frequency	
	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	1/month
TSS	30 mg/l	45 mg/L	1/month
BOD <sub>5</sub>	30 mg/l	45 mg/L	1/month
Fecal Coliform	200 col./100 mL	400 col./100 mL	1/month

# EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS BASIS for Outfall 101:

Flow: The requirement to report flow is based upon LAC 33:IX.2707.1.1.b.

TSS, BOD<sub>5</sub>, Fecal Coliform: Limitations based upon the Class I Sanitary General permit, Schedule B (LAG530000) and the previous permit.

Outfall 002 - The intermittent discharge of low contamination potential stormwater runoff and utility wastewaters including but not limited to safety shower-eyewash stations and fire water

Parameter	Proposed Perr	Monitoring Frequency		
	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum		
Flow (MGD)	Report	Report	1/quarter	
рН	6.0 s.u. (Min)	9.0 s.u. (Max)	1/quarter	
тос		50 mg/L	1/quarter	
Oil & Grease		15 mg/L	1/quarter	

# EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS BASIS for Outfall 002:

Flow: The requirement to report flow is based upon LAC 33:IX.2707.I.1.b.

TOC, Oil & Grease: Limitations are based upon the previous permit and LDEQ's stormwater guidance [letter dated 6/17/87, from J. Dale Givens (LDEQ) to Myron Knudson (EPA Region 6)].

pH: Requirements are based upon LAC 33:IX.1113.C.1.

#### C. <u>MONITORING FREQUENCIES</u>

Monitoring frequencies for all outfalls are based upon office guidance for similar discharges and/or the previous permit.

# IX. Compliance History/DMR Review:

- A. Compliance History There are no open enforcement actions against the facility as of November 2, 2009
- B. DMR Review Excursions reported 1/1/2007 10/31/2009\*

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Outfall</u>	<u>Reported</u>	Permit Limit
9/09	TOC	001	293 mg/L	50 mg/L
9/09	TOC	002	212 mg/L	50 mg/L
5/08	TSS	101	84 mg/l	45 mg/L
1/08	Fecal Col.	101	3,600 col./100 mL	400 col./100 mL
11/07	TSS	101	108 mg/L	45 mg/L
9/07	Fecal Col.	101	2,300 col./100 mL	400 col./100 mL
3/07	TSS	101 .	46 mg/L	45 mg/L

<sup>\*</sup>Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. was referred to enforcement on January 6, 2010 due to the excursions listed above.

C. Inspections – The last inspection of the facility was a multi-media inspection conducted on 3/5/2007. No areas of concern were noted.

# X. Endangered Species:

The receiving waterbodies for Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. are Subsegment 070301 of the Mississippi River Basin and Subsegment 040404 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin. The receiving waterbody, Subsegment 040404 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin is not listed in Section II.2 of the Implementation Strategy as requiring consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). Segment 070301 of the Mississippi River Basin has been identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) as habitat for the Pallid Sturgeon, which is listed as a threatened or endangered species. However, in accordance with the Implementation Strategy, no consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) is required because the facility is not expected to discharge any of the substances listed in Section II.2. This strategy was submitted with a letter dated November 18, 2008, from Rieck (FWS) to Nolan (LDEQ). Therefore, in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between the LDEQ and the FWS, no further informal (Section 7, Endangered Species Act) consultation is required. It was determined that the issuance of the LPDES permit is not likely to have an adverse effect on any endangered or candidate species or the critical habitat. The effluent limitations established in the permit ensure protection of aquatic life and maintenance of the receiving water as aquatic habitat.

# XI. Historic Sites:

The discharge is from an existing facility location, which does not include an expansion on undisturbed soils. Therefore, there should be no potential effect to sites or properties on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, and in accordance with the "Memorandum of Understanding for the Protection of Historic Properties in Louisiana Regarding LPDES Permits" no consultation with the Louisiana State Historic Preservation Officer is required.

#### XII. Tentative Determination:

On the basis of preliminary staff review, the Department of Environmental Quality has made a tentative determination to issue a permit for the discharges described in the application.

#### XIII. Variances:

No requests for variances have been received by this Office.

#### XIV. Public Notices:

Upon publication of the public notice, a public comment period shall begin on the date of publication and last for at least 30 days thereafter. During this period, any interested persons may submit written comments on the draft permit and may request a public hearing to clarify issues involved in the permit decision at this Office's address on the first page of the statement of basis. A request for a public hearing shall be in writing and shall state the nature of the issues proposed to be raised in the hearing.

A public notice will be published in a local newspaper of general circulation and in the Office of Environmental Services Public Notice Mailing List.

#### XV. TMDL Waterbodies:

Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. discharges utility wastewaters and sanitary wastewater to the Mississippi River (Segment 070301), and sanitary wastewater and stormwater runoff to an unnamed drainage ditch thence to New River (Segment 040404). Segment 070301 is not listed on LDEQ's Final 2006 303(d) List, as impaired, and to date no TMDLs have been established. Segment 040404 of the Lake Pontchartrain Basin is currently impaired for organic enrichment/low DO and pathogen indicators. TMDLs are scheduled for completion by March 31, 2011, with an EPA backstop date of March 31, 2012. This Office has determined that due to the nature of the discharges from Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., there is no potential to discharge pollutants that could contribute to organic enrichment or pathogen indicators at a level that could cause or contribute to further impairment of the receiving stream. The TOC requirements established in the permit are appropriate to indicate if there are elevated levels of organic materials in the discharge.

A reopener clause will be included in the permit to allow for the establishment of more stringent effluent limitations and requirements as imposed by any future TMDLs.

# XVI. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) Requirements:

In accordance with LAC 33:IX.2707.I.3 and 4 [40 CFR 122.44(I)(3) and (4)], a Part II condition is proposed for applicability to all storm water discharges from the facility, either through permitted outfalls or through outfalls which are not listed in the permit or as sheet flow. For first time permit issuance, the Part II condition requires a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) within six (6) months of the effective date of the final permit. For renewal permit issuance, the Part II condition requires that the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) be reviewed and updated, if necessary, within six (6) months of the effective date of the final permit. If the permittee maintains other plans that contain duplicative information, those plans could be incorporated by reference to the SWP3. Examples of these type plans include, but are not limited to: Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan (SPCC), Best Management Plan (BMP), Response Plans, etc. The conditions will be found in the draft permit. Including Best Management Practice (BMP) controls in the form of a SWP3 is consistent with other LPDES and EPA permits regulating similar discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activity, as defined in LAC 33:IX.2522.B.14 [40 CFR 122.26(b)(14)].

LDEQ-EDMS Document 45443356, Page 42 of 5

# Appendix A

Water Quality Spreadsheet and Documentation

wqamodn.wk4 Date. 01/21 Appendix A-1

Developer: Bruce Fielding Time: 04:09 PM

Software: Lotus 4.0 LA0111180 / AI 92534

F/specific HHc Dilution= --- 99 Crit., 1=y, 0=n 1

Revision date: 05/10/01

•	Water Quality	y Screen for Hexion Specialty	Chemicals, Inc.	
Input variables:				
Receiving Water Charact	eristics:	Dilution:	Toxicity Diluti	on Series:
		ZID Fe - 0.03333	3 Biomenitoring d	ilution: 0.000048
Receiving Water Name=	Mississippi Ri	ver	Dilution Series	Factor: 0.75
Critical flow (Qr) cfs=		MZ Fs • 0.33333	3	
Harm. mean/avg tidal cf		Critical Or (MGD) = 91745.5	2	Percent Effluent
Drinking Water=1 HHNPCR	-2 1	Натт. Mean (MGD) = 237029.	2 Dilution No. 1	0.006%
Marine, 1=y, 0=n		ZID Dilution = 0.00004	B Dilution No. 2	0.0048%
Rec. Water Hardness=	153.4	MZ Dilution = 0.00000	5 Dilution No. 3	0.0036%
Rec. Water TSS=	32	HHnc Dilution= 0.00000	2 Dilution No. 4	0.0027%
Fisch/Specific=1,Stream	-0	HHc Dilution= 6.2E-00	7 Dilution No. 5	0.0020%
Diffuser Ratio=		ZID Upstream = 20663.	4	
		MZ Upstream = 20663	4 Partition Coeffic	ients; Dissolved>Total
Effluent Characteristic	S:	MZhhnc Upstream= 619902.	1	
Permittee=	Hexion Speciali	y Chemicals, Inc.	METALS	w
Permit Number-	LA0111180	MZhhc Upstream= 160154	9 Total Arsenic	2.223578
Facility flow (Qef),MGD	- C.148	ZID Hardness=	- Total Cadmium	3.549121
		MZ Hardness•	- Chromium III	5.282524
Outfall Number -	001	ZID TSS	· Chromium VI	1
Eff. data, 2=lbs/day	1	MZ TSS	- Total Copper	3.56078
MQL, 2-1bs/day			Total Lead	6.6
Effluent Hardness-	N/A	Multipliers:	Total Mercury	2.785159
Effluent TSS=	N/A	WLAa> LTAa 0.3	2 Total Nickel	3.174756
WQBL ind. 0-y, 1-n		WLAC> LTAC 0.5	3 Total Zinc	4.535534
Acute/Chr. ratio 0=n, 1	-y 1	LTA a,c>WQBL avg 1.3	1	
Aquatic, acute only1=y,0	-n	LTA a,c>WQBL max 3.1	1 Aquatic Life, D	issolved
		LTA h> WQBL max 2.3	8 Metal Criteria,	սց/ե
Page Numbering/Labeling		WOBL-limit/report 2.1	3 METALS	ACUTE CHRONIC
Appendix	Appendix A-1	WLA Fraction	1 Arsenic	339.8 150
Page Numbers 1=y, 0=n	1	WQBL Fraction	1 Cadmium	50.5572 1.414322
Input Page # 1-y, 0-n	1		Chromium III	779.0334 252.7104
		Conversions:	Chromium VI	15.712 10.582
Fischer/Site Specific in	nputs:	ug/L>1bs/day Qef0.00123	4 Copper	27.5752 17.70626
Pipe=1,Canal=2,Specific	-3	ug/L>lbs/day Qeo	D Lead	102.5669 3.996886
Pipe width, feet		ug/L>lbs/day Qr 1183.90	5 Mercury	1.734 0.012
ZID plume dist., feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qeo810.162	•	2032.775 225.756
MZ plume dist., feet		lbs/day>ug/L Qef810.162	7 Zinc	164.4582 150.1753
HHnc plume dist., feet		Ar.,	1	22012132
HHc plume dist., feet			- L Site Specific Mu	oltiplier Values:
		cfs>MGD 0.646	•	
Fischer/site specific di	lutions:	3,000	N -	
F/specific ZID Dilution		Receiving Stream:	WIAA> LTAA	
F/specific M2 Dilution =		Default Hardness= 2		
F/specific HHnc Dilution		Default TSS= 1		
	-	7010010 1555	, prw e'c>MôRP 9	<b>v</b> g

LTA a,c-->WQBL max

---

(*1)	(*2)	(*3)	(*4)	(*5)	(*6)	(*7)	(*8)	(+9)	(*10)	(*11)
Toxic	CuE	ffluent E	ffluent	MQLEf f	luent	95th 🕯	Nun	erical C	riteria	нн
Parameters	Instream	/Tech	/Tech	1=1	lo 95%	estimate	Acute	Chronic	е нном о	Carcinogen
	Conc.	(Avg)	(Max)	0=9	5 %	Non-Tech	FW	FW	:	Indicator
	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		ug/L	ug/L	ug/	L ug/L	*C*
NONCONVENTIONAL										
Total Phenols (4AAP)				5			700	350	5	
3-Chlorophenol				10					0.1	
4-Chlorophenol				10			383	192	0.1	
2,3-Dichlorophenol				10					0.04	
2,5-Dichlorophenol				10					0.5	
2,6-Dichlorophenol				10					0.2	
3,4-Dichlorophenol				10					0.3	
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-										
acetic acid (2,4-D)									100	
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-										
oxy) propionic acid										
(2,4,5-TP, Silvex)									10	
METALS AND CYANIDE										
Total Arsenic				10			755.5719	333.5367	111.1789	
Total Cadmium				1			179.4336	5.019602	35.49121	
Chromium III		•		10			4115.263	1334.949	264.1262	
Chromium VI				10			15.712	10.582	50	С
Total Copper		0.024		10	0	0.05112	98.18922	63.04811	3560.78	
Total Lead				5			676.9417	26.37945	330	
Total Mercury				0.2			4.829466	0.033422	5.570319	
Total Nickel		0.094		40	0	0.20022	6453.566	716.7203		
Total Zinc				20			745.906	681.1252	22677.67	
Total Cyanide				20			22.36	5.2	663.8	
DIOXIN										
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin			**	*****					*******	С
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS										
Benzene				10			2249	1125	1.1	С
Bromoform				10			2930	1465	3.9	C
Bromodichloromethane				10					0.2	С
Carbon Tetrachloride				10			2730	1365	0.22	С
Chloroform				10			2890	1445	5.3	c
Dibromochloromethane				10					0.39	С
1,2-Dichloroethane(EDC)				10			11800	5900	0.36	c
1,1-Dichloroethylene				10		•	1160	580	0.05	С
1,3-Dichloropropylene				10			606	303	9.86	
Ethylbenzene				10			3200	1600	2390	
Methyl Chloride				50			55000	27500		
Methylene Chloride				20			19300	9650	4.4	С
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-										
ethane				10			932	466	0.16	С

Appendix A-1
Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc.

IA0111180

(*2)	(*12)	(*13	) (*:4)	) (*15	) (+16	i) (+17	) (*18)	) (*19	) (*20)	(*21)	(*22)	/+221
Toxic	WLAa	WI.A	c WLAI	h LTA			h Limitine			•		Need
Parameters	Acute	Chronie	с ннрм	Acut				Ave	-	-	•	WOBL?
							****	001	001	001	001	-QBL;
	ug/L	ug/	L ug/l	L ug/	L ug/	L ug/	L ug/l					
NONCONVENTIONAL					•	_	•	-	2.	,,	141, 12,	
Total Phenols (4AAP)	1.4E+007	7.2E+007	3099516	4628827	3.8E+007	3099516	3099516	3099516	7376847	3825.794	9105.39	no
3 Chlorophenol			61990.31							76.51588		no
4 Chlorophenol	7914467	4E-007	61990.31	2532629						76.51588		no
2,3-Dichlorophenol			24796.13							30.60635		no
2,5-Dichlorophenol	••.		309951.6		•••	309951.6	309951.6	309951.6	737684.7	382.5794	910.539	no
2,6-Dichlorophenol			123960.6		• • •					153.0318		no
3,4-Dichlorophenol			185970.9			185970.9	185970.9	185970.9	442610.8	229.5477	546.3234	no
2,4-Dichlorophenocy-												
acetic acid (2,4-D)			6.25.007			6.2E+007	6.2E+007	6.2E+007	1.5E+008	7651 <b>5</b> .88	182107.8	no
2-(2,4,5-Trichlorophen-												
oxy) propionic acid												
{2.4.5-TP, Silvex}			6199031			6199031	6199031	6199031	1.5E+007	7651.588	18210.78	no
METALS AND CYANIDE												
Total Arsenic	1.6E+007 6											no
Total Cadmium										888.8903		ло
Chromium III	8.5E+007 2	2.8E+008	1.6E+008	2.7E+007	1.5E+008	1.6E+008	2.7E+007	3.6E+007	8.SE-007	44001.7	104462.1	по
Chromium VI	324679.1			103897.3	1158904	8E+007	103897.3	136105.5	323120.7	167.9977	398.8343	no
Total Copper	2029022 1	1.3E+007	2.2E+009	649286.9	6904613	2.2E+009	649286.9	850565.9	2019282	1049.87	2492.441	no
Total Lead		5450918		4476351	2888986					4671.373		no
Total Mercury	99798.05 6					3453058	3660.253	4794.932	11383.39	5.91848	14.05074	no
Total Nickel	1.3E+008 1			4.3E+007						69003.6		no
Total Zinc	1.5E+007 1											no
Total Cyanide	462056.1	1074502	4.1E+0C8	147857.9	569486.2	4.1E+008	147857.9	193693.9	459838.2	239.0803	567.5875	no
DIOXIN												
2,3,7,8 TCDD; dioxin												
2,3,1,6 ICDO, GIOXI		•				********	•••••	********	********	********	•••••••	no
VOLATILE COMPOUNDS												
Benzene	4.6E+007 2	2.3E+008	1761705	1.5E+007	1.2E+008	1761705	1761705	1761705	4192858	2174.508 9	5175.328	no
Bromoform	6.1E+007	3E+008	6246044	1.9E+007	1.6E+008	6246044	6246044	6246044	1.SE+007	7709.618 1	16348.89	no
Bromodichloromethane	• - •		320310			320310	320310	320310	762337.7	395.365	940.9687	no
Carbon Tetrachloride	5.6E+007 2	8E+008	352341	1.8E+007	1.5E+00B	352341	352341	352341	838571.5	434.9015	1035.066	no
Chloroform	6E+007	3E+008	8488214	1.9E+007	1.6E+008	8488214	8488214	8488214	2E+007	10477.17 2	24935.67	no
Dibromochloromethane			624604.4			624604 4	624604.4	624604.4	1486559	770.9618 1	834.889	no
1,2-Dichloroethane(EDC)	2.4E.006 1	.2E+009	576558	7.BE+007	6.5E+008	576558	576558	576558	1372208	711.657 1	693.744	no
1,1-Dichloroethylene	2.4E+007 1											no
1,3-Dichloropropylene	1.3E+007 6	.3E+007	6112245	4007241	3.3E+007	6112245	4007241	5249486	1.2E+007	6479.546 1	5382.74	no
Ethylbenzene	6.6E+007 3	.3E+008	1.5E+009	2.1E+007	1.8E+008	1.5E+009	2.1E+007	2.8E+007	6.6E+007	34215.42 8	11228.98	no
Methyl Chloride	1.1E+009 5			3.6E+C08	3E+009					586077.6		no
Methylene Chloride	4E+008	2E+009	7046819	1.3E+008	1.1E+009	7046819	7046819	7046819	1.7E+007	8698.03 2	0701.31	по
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloro-												
ethane	1.9E+007 9	.6E+007	256248	6162952	5.1E+007	256248	256248	256248	609870.2	316.292	752.775	no

Appendix A-1 Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc. La0111180

(\*2) (\*3) (\*4) (\*5) (\*7) (\*8) (\*9) (\*10) (\*1) (\*6) (\*11) CuEffluent Effluent MQLEffluent 95th % Numerical Criteria Toxic нн 1=No 95% estimate Acute Chronic Parameters Instream /Tech /Tech HHDW Carcinogen (Avg) FW FW Conc. (Max) 0=95 % Non-Tech Indicator ug/L ug/L ug/L -cug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L VOLATILE COMPOUNDS (cont'd) Tetrachloroethylene 10 1290 0.65 645 С Toluene 10 1270 635 6100 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 10 5280 2640 200 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 10 1800 900 0.56 C Trichloroethylene 10 3900 ` 1950 2.8 С Vinyl Chloride 10 1.9 C ACID COMPOUNDS 2-Chlorophenol 10 258 129 0.1 2,4-Dichlorophenol 10 202 101 0.3 BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS Benzidine 50 250 125 0.00008 C 10 С Hexachlorobenzene 0.00025 Hexachlorabutadiene 10 5.1 0.09 PESTICIDES Aldrin 0.05 3 0.00004 C Hexachlorocyclohexane (gamma BHC, Lindane) 0.05 0.21 0.11 5.3 С Chlordane 0.2 0.0043 0.00019 2.4 C 4,4'-DDT 0.1 1.1 0.001 0.00019 C 4,4'-DDE 0.1 52.5 10.5 0.00019 4,4'-DDD 0.1 0.03 0.006 0.00027 C Dieldrin 0.1 0.2374 0.0557 0.00005 C Endosul fan 0.1 0.22 0.056 0.47 Endrin 0.1 0.0864 0.0375 0.26 Heptachlor 0.05 0.52 0.0038 0.00007 С 5 0.73 0.0002 0.00024 Toxaphene c Other Parameters: Fecal Colif. (col/100ml) Chlorine 19 11 Ammonia 4000 Chlorides Sulfates 2650000 0 5644500 TDŞ 400000 Goldbook Values:

Appendix A-1
Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc.

LA0111180

				LA0111180	0							
(*1)												
	(*12										(*22)	(*23)
Toxic	WLA						h Limitin	<del>-</del>	L WQB	L WQB	L WOBL	. Need
Parameters	Acut	e Chroni	ic HHDW	Acute	Chron:	с нном	A,C,HH	A۷	g Ma:	k Av	g Max	WOBI,?
	_							001	001	001	001	
	ug/	L ug/	'L ug/	L ug/I	. ug/	L ug/	L ug/	l, ug/	L ug/l	L lbs/day	y lbs/day	
Tetrachloroethylene	2.7E+007	1.3E+008	1041007	8530266	7.1E+007	1041007	1041007	1041007	2477598	1294 926	2059 240	
Toluene			3.8E+009				8398014					no
1,1,1-Trichloroethane				3.5E+007								no
1,1,2.Trichloroethane				1.25+007								no
Trichloroethylene	8.1E+007			2.6E.007								no
Vinyl Chloride							3042945					no
,.			3012713			3042943	3042343	3042343	7242209	3/35.968	8939.203	по
ACID COMPOUNDS												
2-Chlorophenol	5331416	2.7E+007	61990.31	1706053	1.4E+007	61990.31	61990.31	61990.31	147536.9	76.51588	182.1078	no
2,4-Dichlorophenol				1335747								no
											314.3231	
BASE NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS												
Benzidine	5166101	2.6E+007	128.124	1653152	1.4E+007	128.124	128.124	128.124	304.9351	0.158146	0 376387	no
Hexachlorobenzene			400.3875				400.3875					no
Hexachlorabutadiene	105388.5	210767.7	144139.5	33724.31								no
									101001.0	31.33003	123.4307	110
PESTICIDES												
Aldrin	61993.21		64.06199	19837.83	•	64.06199	64.06199	64.06199	152.4625	0 079073	0 188194	no
Hexachlorocyclohexane												
(gamma BHC, Lindane)	109521.3	43393.36	176170.5	35046.83	22996.48	176170.5	22998.48	30128.01	71525.27	37 1876	AR 28506	no
Chlordane				15870.26								no
4,4'-DDT				7273.87								no
4,4'-DDE			304.2945				304.2945					no
4,4'-DDD	619.9321	1239.81	432.4185	198.3783								no
Dieldrin	4905.73			1569.833								по
Endosul fan				1454.774								ло
Endrin				571.3295								по
Heptachlor				3438.557								no
•								111,1003	200.0101	0.230370	0.349339	110
Toxaphène	15085.02	41.32701	384.372	4827.205	21.90331	384.372	21.90331	28.69334	68 11931	0 035417	0.094091	no
									00:117.71	0.033411	0.004081	110
Other Parameters:												
Fecal Colif. (col/100ml	)											по
Chlorine	392623.7	2272986		125639.6	1204682		125639.6					no
Ammonia		8.3E+008			B.3E+00B		8.3E+008					
Chlorides									1.72.009	1336482	31/2869	no no
Sulfates											•	nο
TOS		6.4E+011			3.4E+011		3.4E+011	4 4F-011				no
		- ***					J. 12-011		1.1E+U:2	J. JE+VV8	1.36.009	no
												no
												no
												no

#### APPENDIX A-2 LA0111180 / AI No. 92534

#### Documentation and Explanation of Water Quality Screen and Associated Lotus Spreadsheet

Each reference column is marked by a set of parentheses enclosing a number and asterisk, for example (\*1) or (\*19). These columns represent inputs, existing data sets, calculation points, and results for determining Water Quality Based Limits for an effluent of concern. The following represents a summary of information used in calculating the water quality screen:

Receiving Water Characteristics:

Receiving Water: Mississippi River Critical Flow, Qrc (cfs): 141,955 Harmonic Mean Flow, Qrh (cfs): 366,748

Segment No.: 070301

Receiving Stream Hardness (mg/L): 153.4

Receiving Stream TSS (mg/L): 32

MZ Stream Factor, Fs: 1/3 Plume distance, Pf: N/A

Effluent Characteristics:

Company: Hexion Speciality Chemicals, Inc.

Facility flow, Qe (MGD): 0.148

Effluent Hardness: N/A

Effluent TSS: N/A

Pipe/canal width, Pw: N/A Permit Number: LA0111180

Variable Definition:

Qrc, critical flow of receiving stream, cfs

Qrh, harmonic mean flow of the receiving stream, cfs

Pf = Allowable plume distance in feet, specified in LAC 33:IX.1115.D

Pw = Pipe width or canal width in feet

Qe, total facility flow, MGD

Fs, stream factor from LAC.33.IX Chapter 11 (1 for harmonic mean flow)

Cu, ambient concentration, ug/L

Cr, numerical criteria from LAC.33.IX.1113, Table 1

WLA, wasteload allocation

LTA, long term average calculations

WQBL, effluent water quality based limit

ZID, Zone of Initial Dilution in % effluent

MZ, Mixing Zone in % effluent

Formulas used in aquatic life water quality screen (dilution type WLA):

Streams:

Dilution Factor = 
$$\frac{Qe}{(Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Fs + Qe)}$$

WLA a,c,h = 
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution \ Factor}$$
 -  $\frac{(Fs \times Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$ 

Static water bodies (in the absence of a site specific dilution):

Discharge from a pipe:

Discharge from a canal:

Critical Critical Dilution = 
$$(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$$
 Dilution =  $(2.38) (\text{Pw}^{1/2})$   $(\text{Pf})^{1/2}$ 

WLA =  $(\text{Cr-Cu}) \text{ Pf}$   $(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$   $(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$   $(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$ 

Formulas used in human health water quality screen, human health non-carcinogens (dilution type WLA):

Streams:

Dilution Factor = 
$$\frac{\text{Qe}}{\text{(Qrc x 0.6463 + Qe)}}$$

WLA a,c,h = 
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution \ Factor}$$
 -  $\frac{(Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$ 

Formulas used in human health water quality screen, human health carcinogens (dilution type WLA):

Dilution Factor = 
$$\frac{Qe}{(Qrh \times 0.6463 + Qe)}$$

WLA a,c,h = 
$$\frac{Cr}{Dilution \ Factor}$$
 -  $\frac{(Qrh \times 0.6463 \times Cu)}{Qe}$ 

Static water bodies in the absence of a site specific dilution (human health carcinogens and human health non-carcinogens):

Discharge from a pipe:

Discharge from a canal:

Critical Critical Dilution = 
$$(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$$
 Dilution =  $(2.38) (\text{Pw}^{1/2})$  (Pf)  $(\text{Pf})^{1/2}$ 

WLA =  $(\text{Cr-Cu}) \text{ Pf}^*$  WLA =  $(\text{Cr-Cu}) \text{ Pf}^{1/2}$   $(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$   $(2.8) \text{ Pw } \pi^{1/2}$ 

\* Pf is set equal to the mixing zone distance specified in LAC 33:IX.1115 for the static water body type, i.e., lake, estuary, Gulf of Mexico, etc.

```
Appendix A-2
LA0111180, AI No. 92534
Page 3
```

If a site specific dilution is used, WLA are calculated by subtracting Cu from Cr and dividing by the site specific dilution for human health and aquatic life criteria.

```
WLA = (Cr-Cu)
site specific dilution
```

Long Term Average Calculations:

 $LTAa = WLAa \times 0.32$ 

 $LTAc = WLAc \times 0.53$ 

LTAh = WLAh

WOBL Calculations:

Select most limiting LTA to calculate daily max and monthly avg WQBL

If aquatic life LTA is more limiting:
Daily Maximum = Min(LTAa, LTAc) X 3.11
Monthly Average = Min(LTAc, LTAc) X 1.31

If human health LTA is more limiting: Daily Maximum = LTAh X 2.38 Monthly Average = LTAh

Mass Balance Formulas:

mass (lbs/day): (ug/L) X 1/1000 X (flow, MGD) X 8.34 = lbs/day

concentration(ug/L): 
$$\frac{1bs/day}{(flow, MGD) \times 8.34 \times 1/1000} = ug/I$$

The following is an explanation of the references in the spreadsheet.

- (\*1) Parameter being screened.
- (\*2) Instream concentration for the parameter being screened in ug/L. In the absence of accurate supporting data, the instream concentration is assumed to be zero (0).
- (\*3) Monthly average effluent or technology value in concentration units of ug/L or mass units of lbs/day. Units determined on a case-by-case basis as appropriate to the particular situation.
- (\*4) Daily maximum technology value in concentration units of ug/L or mass units of lbs/day. Units determined on a case-by-case basis as appropriate to the particular situation.
- (\*5) Minimum analytical Quantification Levels (MQLs). Established in a letter dated January 27, 1994 from Wren Stenger of EPA Region 6 to Kilren Vidrine of LDEQ and from the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". The applicant must test for the parameter at a level at least as sensitive as the specified MQL. If this is not done, the MQL becomes the application value for screening purposes if the pollutant is suspected to be present on-site and/or in the waste stream. Units are in ug/l or lbs/day depending on the units of the effluent data.

- (\*6) States whether effluent data is based on 95th percentile estimation. A "1" indicates that a 95th percentile approximation is being used, a "0" indicates that no 95th percentile approximation is being used.
- (\*7) 95th percentile approximation multiplier (2.13). The constant, 2.13, was established in memorandum of understanding dated October 8, 1991 from Jack Ferguson of Region 6 to Jesse Chang of LDEQ and included in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". This value is screened against effluent Water Quality Based Limits established in columns (\*18) (\*21). Units are in ug/l or lbs/day depending on the units of the measured effluent data.
- (\*8) LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic Substances, freshwater (FW) or marine water (MW) (whichever is applicable) aquatic life protection, acute criteria. Units are specified. Some metals are hardness dependent. The hardness of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however a flow weighted hardness may be determined in site-specific situations. Dissolved metals are converted to Total metals using partition coefficients in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Similar to hardness, the TSS of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however, a flow weighted TSS may be determined in site-specific situations.

Hardness Dependent Criteria:

 Metal
 Formula

 Cadmium
 e (1.1280[ln(hardness)] - 1.6774)

 Chromium III
 e (0.8190[ln(hardness)] + 3.6880)

 Copper
 e (0.9422[ln(hardness)] - 1.3884)

 Lead
 e (1.2730(ln(hardness)] - 1.4600)

 Nickel
 e (0.8460(ln(hardness)] + 3.3612)

 Zinc
 e (0.8473[ln(hardness)] + 0.8604)

Dissolved to Total Metal Multipliers for Freshwater Streams (TSS dependent):

Multiplier Metal  $1 + 0.48 \times TSS^{-0.73} \times TSS$ Arsenic 1 + 4.00 X TSS<sup>-1.13</sup> X TSS Cadmium  $1 + 3.36 \times TSS^{-0.93} \times TSS$ Chromium III 1 + 1.04 X TSS<sup>-0.74</sup> X TSS Copper  $1 + 2.80 \times TSS^{-0.80} \times TSS$ Lead  $1 + 2.90 \times TSS^{-1.14} \times TSS$ Mercury 1 + 0.49 X TSS<sup>-0.57</sup> X TSS Nickel  $1 + 1.25 \times TSS^{-0.70} \times TSS$ Zinc

Dissolved to Total Metal Multipliers for Marine Environments (TSS dependent):

Zinc  $1 + (10^{5.36} \text{ X TSS}^{-0.52} \text{ X TSS}) \text{ X } 10^{-6}$ 

If a metal does not have multiplier listed above, then the dissolved to total metal multiplier shall be 1.

(\*9) LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic Substances, freshwater (FW) or marine water (MW) (whichever is applicable) aquatic life protection, chronic criteria. Units are specified. Some metals are hardness dependent. The hardness of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however a flow weighted hardness may be determined in site-specific situations. Dissolved metals are converted to Total metals using partition coefficients in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Similar to hardness, the TSS of the receiving stream shall generally be used, however, a flow weighted TSS may be determined in site-specific situations.

Hardness dependent criteria:

Metal

Cadmium		e (0.7852[ln(hardness)]	- 3.4900)
Chromium	TTT	(0.8473(ln(hardness))	+ 0.7614)
Copper		_(0.8545[ln(hardness)]	- 1.3860)
Lead		e (1.2730[ln(hardness)] e (0.8460[ln(hardness)]	- 4.7050)
Nickel		(0.8460[ln(hardness)]	+ 1.1645)
		e (0.8473[ln(hardness)]	+ 0.7614)
Zinc		e	•

Formula

Dissolved to total metal multiplier formulas are the same as (\*8), acute numerical criteria for aquatic life protection.

- (\*10) LAC 33.IX.1113.C.6, Table 1, Numerical Criteria for Specific Toxic Substances, human health protection, drinking water supply (HHDW), non-drinking water supply criteria (HHNDW), or human health non-primarry contact recreation (HHNPCR) (whichever is applicable). A DEQ and EPA approved Use Attainability Analysis is required before HHNPCR is used, e.g., Monte Sano Bayou. Units are specified.
- (\*11) C if screened and carcinogenic. If a parameter is being screened and is carcinogenic a "C" will appear in this column.
- (\*12) Wasteload Allocation for acute aquatic criteria (WLAa). Dilution type WLAa is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the acute aquatic numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAa formulas for streams:

WLAa =  $(Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs \times Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)$ 

Dilution WLAa formulas for static water bodies:

WLAa = (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Cr represents aquatic acute numerical criteria from column (\*8).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*13) Wasteload Allocation for chronic aquatic criteria (WLAc). Dilution type WLAc is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the chronic aquatic numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAc formula:

WLAc =  $(Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs \times Qrc \times 0.6463 \times Cu)$ 

Qe

Dilution WLAc formulas for static water bodies:

WLAc - (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Or represents aquatic chronic numerical criteria from column (\*9).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*14) Wasteload Allocation for human health criteria (WLAh). Dilution type WLAh is calculated in accordance with the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards". Negative values indicate that the receiving water is not meeting the human health numerical criteria for that parameter. Units are in ug/L. Dilution WLAh formula: WLAh = (Cr/Dilution Factor) - (Fs x Qrc,Qrh x 0.6463 x Cu)

Qе

Dilution WLAh formulas for static water bodies:

WLAh = (Cr-Cu)/Dilution Factor)

Cr represents human health numerical criteria from column (\*10).

If Cu data is unavailable or inadequate, assume Cu=0.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*15) Long Term Average for aquatic numerical criteria (LTAa). WLAa numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 0.32. WLAa X 0.32 = LTAa.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*16) Long Term Average for chronic numerical criteria (LTAc). WLAc numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 0.53. WLAc X 0.53 = LTAc.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*17) Long Term Average for human health numerical criteria (LTAh). WLAh numbers are multiplied by a multiplier specified in the "Permitting Guidance Document for Implementing Louisiana Surface Water Quality Standards" which is 1. WLAC X 1 = LTAh.

If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then a blank shall appear in this column.

(\*18) Limiting Acute, Chronic or Human Health LTA's. The most limiting LTA is placed in this column. Units are consistent with the WLA calculation. If standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then the type of limit, Aquatic or Human Health (HH), is indicated.

- (\*19) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) monthly average in terms of concentration, ug/L. If aquatic life criteria was the most limiting LTA then the limiting LTA is multiplied by 1.31 to determine the average WQBL (LTA<sub>limiting aquatic</sub> X 1.31 = WQBL<sub>monthly average</sub>). If human health criteria was the most limiting criteria then LTAh = WQBL<sub>monthly average</sub>. If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then either the human health criteria or the chronic aquatic life criteria shall appear in this column depending on which is more limiting.
- (\*20) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) daily maximum in terms of concentration, ug/L. If aquatic life criteria was the most limiting LTA then the limiting LTA is multiplied by 3.11 to determine the daily maximum WQBL (LTA<sub>limiting aquatic</sub> X 3.11 = WQBL<sub>daily max</sub>). If human health criteria was the most limiting criteria then LTAh is multiplied by 2.38 to determine the daily maximum WQBL (LTA<sub>limiting aquatic</sub> X 2.38 = WQBL<sub>daily max</sub>). If water quality standards are being applied at end-of-pipe, such as in the case of certain TMDLs, then either the human health criteria or the acute aquatic life criteria shall appear in this column depending on which is more limiting.
- (\*21) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) monthly average in terms of mass, lbs/day. The mass limit is determined by using the mass balance equations above. Monthly average WQBL, ug/l/1000 X facility flow, MGD X 8.34 = monthly average WQBL, lbs/day.
- (\*22) End of pipe Water Quality Based Limit (WQBL) monthly average in terms of mass, lbs/day. Mass limit is determined by using the mass balance equations above. Daily maximum WQBL, ug/1/1000 X facility flow, MGD X 8.34 = daily maximum WQBL, lbs/day.
- (\*23) Indicates whether the screened effluent value(s) need water quality based limits for the parameter of concern. A "yes" indicates that a water quality based limit is needed in the permit; a "no" indicates the reverse.